

Gary M. Hoffman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Kenneth W. Brothers (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Cathy Chen (*Pro Hac Vice*)
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-5403
Phone (202) 420-2200
Fax (202) 420-2201

Ron E. Shulman (State Bar No. 178263)
Terry Kearney (State Bar No. 160054)
Richard G. Frenkel (State Bar No. 204133)
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
140 Scott Drive
Menlo Park, CA 94025-1008
Phone (650) 328-4600
Fax (650) 463-2600

Krista Carter (State Bar No. 225229)
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
700 Hansen Way
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1016
Phone (650) 690-9500
Fax (650) 690-9501

Attorneys for Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff
Synopsis, Inc.

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Declaratory Judgment
Defendant Ricoh Company, Ltd.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE RICOH COMPANY LTD. PATENT
LITIGATION

) Case Number: C 03-02289 JW

)

) **STIPULATION MODIFYING REPLY**
) **BRIEF DUE DATE AND HEARING DATE**
) **ON SYNOPSIS'S MOTION FOR**
) **TAXATION OF COSTS UPON REMAND**
) **& ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

)

) Date: April 30, 2012

) Time: 9:00 a.m.

) Judge: James Ware

) Dept: Courtroom 9, 19th Floor

)

)

Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil L.R. 6-2, Plaintiff and Declaratory Judgment Defendant Ricoh Company, Ltd. ("Rico") and Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. ("Synopsys"), by and through their respective counsel of record, do hereby stipulate and agree, and hereby jointly request, that the Court modify the schedule for Synopsys's Motion for Taxation of Costs Upon Remand hearing as set forth in the Court's Order filed February 22, 2012 [Docket No. 780].

Synopsys filed its Motion for Taxation of Costs Upon Remand on March 19, 2012. Rico's Opposition to Synopsys's Motion is due on April 2, 2012 and Synopsys's Reply is due on April 9, 2012. The Court's Order filed on February 22, 2012 [Docket No. 780] set the hearing date for April 23, 2012 at 9:00 AM.

Counsel for the parties have multiple conflicts with the current schedule including a medical related conflict with the scheduled hearing on April 23, 2012 and a scheduling conflict with the reply brief due on April 9, 2012. Counsel have met and conferred and agreed upon an alternative stipulated schedule set forth herein below, which the parties jointly and respectfully request that the Court adopt. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties hereto that the schedule for Synopsys's Motion for Taxation of Costs Upon Remand hearing set forth in this Court's Order filed February 22, 2012 [Docket No. 780], should be modified such that the briefing schedule and hearing date shall be as follows:

Synopsys's Reply Brief	due April 11, 2012
Motion Hearing	April 30, 2012 at 9 a.m.

SO STIPULATED THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

1
2 Dated: March 21, 2012

Respectfully submitted,
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

3
4
5 /s/ Kenneth W. Brothers

6 Gary M. Hoffman
7 Kenneth W. Brothers
8 Krista Carter
9 Cathy Chen
10 Counsel for Ricoh Company, Ltd.

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

11
12 Dated: March 21, 2012

/s/ Richard G. Frenkel

13 Ron E. Shulman
14 Terry Kearney
15 Richard G. Frenkel
16 Counsel for Synopsys, Inc.

17 **ORDER**

18 Based on the foregoing Stipulation and good cause appearing,

19 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the schedule for Synopsys's Motion for Taxation of
20 Costs Upon Remand hearing set forth in this Court's previous Order filed February 22, 2012
21 [Docket No. 780] is modified such that the briefing schedule and hearing date shall instead be as
22 follows:

23 Synopsys's Reply Brief

due April 11, 2012

24 Motion Hearing

April 30, 2012 at 9 a.m.

25 **SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: March 27, 2012

27 
Hon. Chief Judge James Ware
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28 Page 3 of 4

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

I, Kenneth W. Brothers, attest that I obtained the concurrence of Richard G. Frenkel in filing this document. I declare under penalty of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 21st day of March 2012 at Washington, D.C.

/s/ Kenneth W. Brothers

Kenneth W. Brothers
Counsel for Ricoh Company, Ltd.